Note: This scoring matrix is pending Oregon Transportation Commission approval on April 17, 2020.

Projects will be evaluated based on the project selection criteria listed below and consistent with OAR 737-025-0092. The first set of selection criteria listed will be used to determine if the project is eligible for funding. The next set of criteria will be used to create an empirical staff score of the project for consideration in the Safe Routes Advisory Committee (SRAC) recommendations. The last project selection criteria will be used to flag any issues for consideration by the SRAC.

Step	1: Eligibility Criteria	Implementation
1	The project description does not appear to address identified problem / barrier(s) for children biking and walking to school OAR 737-025- 0092(1)(a)(B) and OAR 737-025-0092(1)(a)(C)	Staff will flag an application for further review when there is no nexus drawn between problem and solution. After an application is flagged, staff will reach out to the applicant with an opportunity to update the application.
2	The project scope and project description appear to be significantly out of alignment OAR 737-025-0092(1)(a)(C)	Staff will flag an application for further review when the amount requested is out of alignment with the project OR if the information in the READINESS criteria was not taken into account in the amount requested. After an application is flagged, staff will reach out to the applicant with an opportunity to update the application.
3	The applicants must check all of the additional criteria set by statute and the Safe Routes to School Advisory Committee regarding a commitment to outreach, the project aligning with an adopted plan, within one mile of a school, school support, and support of all road authorities involved.	Automation in the application will remove applicants who don't commit to these criteria.

4	-		eview was cond 5-0092(1)(a)(B	Staff will perform ground conditions to assess whether the information provided in the READINESS and SAFETY portions of the application seem accurate. The use of federal funding as match automatically triggers an in person ground conditions review. Staff completing ground conditions reviews will also weigh in on the questions in ELIGIBILITY criteria 1 and 2. After an application is flagged, staff will reach out to the applicant with an opportunity to update the application.			
5		was identifiec ds to be discus	•	t during th	of the application	Staff will only use this category if there is an unforeseen issue with an application. After an application is flagged, staff will reach out to the applicant with an opportunity to update the application.	
Step 2:	Scoring						
	Priority Area	Categories	Sub- categories	Score catego ry	Total score in each catego ry	Notes	Implementation
Focus Area	Equity	Reduced	10-19%	15	195	be prioritized by application.	Automatically scored with information from the application. Applicants can find this data in school report cards,
			20-29%	35		rate of students eligible for	https://www.ode.state.or.us/data/reportcard/re ports.aspx.
			30-39%	55		free/reduced lunch in addition	

	4	40-49%	80	to	o Ever English		
					earners, race		
					emographics,		
				а	nd chronic		
	5	50-59%	100	а	bsenteeism.		
	6	60-69%	120		OAR 737-025- 095(1)(b)(C)		
	7	70-79%	140				
	٤	80-89%	160				
	ç	90-100%	180				
ty as	rulnerabili L y r ssessmen a	Ever English Learner <sup>1</sup> rate is above state	5				
	oints (	average (23%)					
	9	Non-white student	5				
		rate is					
		above state					
		average (35%)					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Education agencies and the media routinely report the achievement gap between current English Learners (EL) students and their non-EL peers. However, analyzing outcomes only for current EL students does not provide a complete picture of how well schools are serving the full group of students who entered school not yet proficient in English. The Oregon Department of Education refers to this full group, which includes both current and former ELs, as Ever English Learners.

			Chronic Absenteeis m <sup>2</sup> is above state average (20%)	5			
Heavily Weighted	Readine ss	High risk	Right of Way	0-20	80	Scoring will be assessed based on the risk factors associated with readiness. Partial completion/mitiga tion will earn a	20 points will be awarded if the applicant or the agency delivering the project owns the ROW, have an easement, or have permission to purchase the ROW. 10 points if they are in the process of figuring it out; 0 points if they don't know if they own the ROW.
			Public Process	0-20		project half a credit for addressing the risk. The total number of credits for addressing risks dictates the score. OAR 737-025-	20 points will be awarded if the applicant have completed public process, have done due diligence, or are currently in the process; 10 if they have done outreach but it was a long time ago (for example 5 years), not relevant for the project, or if the community was opposed but approaches have been identified to mitigate; 0 points for no outreach or if the majority of the community is currently opposed.
			Environmen tal	0-20		0092(1)(d)(B)	20 points will be awarded if the applicant doesn't need to address environmental issues or if they have figured out all of the details; 10 points if they know it's an issue but haven't figured out how to address it; 0 points if they don't know.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Chronic absenteeism is defined as missing 10 percent of school days in a year, including excused, unexcused, and discipline-related absences.

		Lower risk	Storm water	0-7			7 points will be awarded if the applicant doesn't need to address storm water or if they have figured out all of the details; 5 points if they know it's an issue but haven't figured out how to address it; 0 points if they don't know.
			Utilities	0-7			7 points will be awarded if the applicant doesn't need to move utilities or if they have figured out all of the details; 5 points if they know it's an issue but haven't figured out how to address it; 0 points if they don't know.
			Design	0-6			6 points will be awarded if the applicant has attached design documents; 3 points if they are started design or have attached conceptual design; 0 points if they have not started design.
	Safety	TetyBicyclist or Pedestrian crash between 6am and 9pmNon-serious injury or serious injury126am and 9pmFatality159pm30 mph5Speed (use 85 percentile if available, posted speed if not.)35 mph +15Lanes or distance3 lanes, or than 30 feet5	injury or serious	5	120	Projects that are on a Priority Safety Corridor (PSC) will receive	Automatically scored with information from the application.
			Fatality	15		40 points then receive additional	
			30 mph	5	-	points for the aspects of PSC that they have. All projects will receive points for including any aspects of a PSC, in accordance with the scores shown.	

				45		0.4.0.707.007	1
		from curb	4 lanes + or	15		OAR 737-025-	
		to curb	greater			0092(1)(b)(A)	
			than 40ft				
			crossing				
		Average Annual	3000-5,999	5			
		Daily Traffic	6000+	15			
		Priority Safety Corridor <sup>3</sup>		40			
Heavily Weighted	School Type	Pre- kindergart		90	90	OAR 737-025- 0092(1)(c)(A)	Automatically scored with information from the application.
Weighted	турс	en to 8th				0052(1)(0)(A)	application.
		grade or					
		any					
		combo					
Moderat	Proximit	1/2 mile		5	15	OAR 737-025-	-
ely	y to	or less		5		0092(1)(c)(B)	
Weighted	School			. –	_		_
	0011001	1/4 mile		15		OAR 737-025-	
		or less				0092(1)(c)(B)	
TOTAL SCO	RE				500		
-			ol Advisory	view and	Implementation		
Recomm	endatio	n					
In addition	to the scor	ing criteria ar	nd eligibility cri	This will occur at the Safe Routes to school			
process wit	h the Safe	Routes to Sch	nool Advisory C	Advisory Committee meeting scheduled in			
the Oregor	Transport	ation Commis	sion. This set	October 2020.			
-	•			Routes to School			
		tion Grant Cy					
•							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See definition for Priority Safety Corridor in Safe Routes to School Construction Program Guidelines

1	Maximum Award- Each applicant can only receive \$2 million total if they have multiple applications in the 100% list. For example, an applicant may have multiple applications in the 100% list, however only applications adding up to a maximum of \$2 million will be selected for funding. In regards to ODOT, applicant refers to each ODOT region. For example, ODOT Region 1 is an applicant, ODOT Region 2 is another applicant, etc.	This will occur at the Safe Routes to school Advisory Committee meeting scheduled in October 2020.
2	New Applicants- If two or more applicants' scores are close and located near the funding cut line, the Committee may use whether the applicants received a competitive grant last cycle as a way to determine who gets funded this cycle except if the project is substantially complete. For example, the Committee may decide to fund a new applicant as opposed to an applicant that received funds in 2019 and if the project is still not substantially complete.	This will occur at the Safe Routes to school Advisory Committee meeting scheduled in October 2020.
3	Cost Effectiveness- If two or more applicants' scores are close and are located near the funding cut line, the Committee may use cost effectiveness as a way to determine what applicant gets funded. For example, the Committee may decide to fund several small projects (for example, under \$500,000 with timeline of 18 month's completion) instead of one large project (for example, over \$ 1 million).	This will occur at the Safe Routes to school Advisory Committee meeting scheduled in October 2020.