From: noreply@cityofsalem.net on behalf of Philsdean@gmail.com

Sent: Monday, October 21, 2019 5:07 AM

**To:** citycouncil

**Subject:** Contact City Council

**Attachments:** ATT00001.bin

Your Name	Phil Dean
Your Email	Philsdean@gmail.com
Your Phone	5034287690
Street	5584 Red Leaf Dr S
City	Salem
State	OR
Zip	97306
Message	The continuing increase in fees (proposed \$10) to be added to water bill) are getting out of hand and need to end. Not only is the water bill already laden with fees that are beyond pale, Many citizens do not see any benefits of the cost. For example my family moved into our home back in 1986 and were told by city employees that we would see street light with in 10 years, we'll I have lived here for 33 years and have no street lights and pay a fee to help pay for the cost to maintain and for electrical cost. My water bill is mostly base cost, even when we are out of town for over a month our bill is over \$55 and now you are deciding to increase it by another \$10 Some people live on fixed incomes and must manage our budgets to meet our needs, the city seems to be unable to manage a budget within the bounds of what you receive, you are unable to make hard decisions on what are necessary cost and what social programs need to be cut; it is not the function of the city to deal with social programs your job should only fire, police, infrastructure,, enforcement of public health issues. Social engineering is not the cities responsibility and the cost of such programs should not be placed on the backs of your citizens Enough is enough Respectful Phil SDean

This email was generated by the dynamic web forms contact us form on 10/21/2019.

From: Bob Elliott <robert.eugene.elliott@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 6:59 AM

**To:** citycouncil

**Subject:** Letters to the editor: City council's monthly fee, burning trash, climate crisis

Hot off the press. He should have mentioned the Mayor and two councilor's who voted to take it to the voters. Many citizens have the same feelings. Only one line left on the utility bill to add the next "fee", I hope. Bob Elliott. Check out this article from Statesman Journal:

Letters to the editor: City council's monthly fee, burning trash, climate crisis

https://www.statesmanjournal.com/story/opinion/readers/2019/09/27/letters-editor-statesman-journal-city-councilsmonthly-fee/2392159001/

Sent from my iPhone

Your

From: noreply@cityofsalem.net on behalf of feby@qwestoffice.net

Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2019 12:08 PM

To: citycouncil

Subject: **Contact City Council** 

**Attachments:** ATT00001.bin

Name	Cheryl A Eby
Your Email	feby@qwestoffice.net
Your Phone	5035853878
Street	1960 Garfield Street NE
City	Salem
State	OR
Zip	97301
Message	This is a copy of a letter I sent to Cara Kaiser and am now passing on to you in the event she does not share it with you. Thank you for finally getting back to me. I was more than disappointed to find you voted in support of the 8% tax. So I'll ask you this question—just how long does the city think it can continue to raid the citizens' wallets until finally there is no more money to give, especially since it never seems to get to where it is allotted? The city has never made any attempt to cut anything in a truly meaningful way. Yes, I agree that fire and police are most needed, although the police do not do a good job at all. Too often we find them parked over in the state fair lot yakking. Not what we are paying them for, is it? We have a great fire department and they deserve to be funded fully. However, these items I list now need to be totally cut/eliminated. 1. The Senior Center. Why on earth is the city is paying for this entity is anyone's guess, but it needs to get out of it and let it go private. 2. Parks. Again, why does the city think it must provide parks? These, too, should be privatized. 3. Cut library days back to five permanently and hours. 4. Cut massively the budget for public works. I have been trying for years to get Peter Fernandez off his hands and get our sidewalks and roads repaired to no avail, even though he wrote us all a letter a few years back telling us they were doing a survey of sidewalks here and would be repairing those damaged. A few more years went by, and when I contacted him again was informed that the survey cost \$500,000 and there was no money left for repairs. And it remains so to this day. Considering what this man receives in salary and the water debacle last year, he should at least be fired. And since there is no money to do the work (supposedly) his department is supposed to be doing, there is certainly no reason to give them more. This department needs a massive employee cut since the few you see out are not doing anything except digging holes in the streets tha

be an understatement. You people seem to think we have vast resources to pay for every project you throw

out there. It must stop, and stop now, and it's up to all of you to do the right thing for once. Start thinking about the people and not how to keep molly-coddling city workers. Cheryl A. Eby 1960 Garfield Street NE Salem, OR 97301

This email was generated by the dynamic web forms contact us form on 10/1/2019.

From: noreply@cityofsalem.net on behalf of jonesg222@gmail.com

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 02, 2019 7:33 AM

To: citycouncil

**Subject:** Contact City Council

**Attachments:** ATT00001.bin

Your Name	Gary Jones
Your Email	jonesg222@gmail.com
Your Phone	5035815401
Street	960 Hilltop Dr. NW
City	Salem
State	OR
Zip	97304
Message	I was disappointed at your decision to raise utility taxes on homeowners without putting this to a vote of Salem residents. You should make the case to voters before taking more of their money. Evidently, asking the people you represent for more money is too risky easier to just take it. If your argument is that you were elected to make decisions, then maybe it's time for a new council!

This email was generated by the dynamic web forms contact us form on 10/2/2019.

From: KATHY LARIMER < longshot614@comcast.net>

Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2019 7:49 AM

To:citycouncilCc:longshot614Subject:Operating Fee

I was reading on the Next Door Neighbor about the new Operating Fee. I am against any new fees. I understand the City has problems with revenue. Building statues to politicians could be one problem at 10,000,000. The money could have been used for a bridge that has been needed since the 60s.

Measure 5 and 50 were from the people to government to live within its means. Instead now politicians believe we can pass an ordinance to raise 7M plus because we cannot operate without it. So that makes it okay to be socialist about it and just pass it government knows best. The City needs to live within its means. If it cannot live within its means then it needs to go back to the people in the form of bond measures to get more money. But a democracy dictates that the populace knows what is going on.

I reviewed my utility bills and they are already heavily taxed through franchise fees, stormwater runoff, water base...you have added fees to so many things.

If I understand they Councils position it will continue to tax and spend both in bond measures and ordinances. The populace does not stand a chance until a new Measure 5 or 50 are passed and returns your revenue positions to 10 years ago.

One last thing stopping Costco from building is nothing more than favoritism or being afraid of that neighborhood. I hope that the LUBA sends it back overturned and Costco can build. It is obvious that politicians paid and unpaid never tell the truth it is all about more. More power, more money and not listening to the electorate.

Mike Larimer

longshot614@comcast.net

9712379375