

**Julie Hanson**

---

**From:** E Easterly <emeasterly@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 30, 2023 3:52 PM  
**To:** Julie Hanson  
**Subject:** Titan Hill area TSP revision public hearing

Ms. Warncke,

While I am not opposed to the proposed changes to the TSP, I plan to raise questions and challenge portions of the staff information offered at the October 9 council meeting when Council scheduled the TSP revision public hearing.. This email is an effort to inform you of my concerns ahead of the public hearing with the hope that we can resolve many of these issues prior to the public hearing.

1. The declared purpose of the TSP change is to redirect Colorado from Orchard Heights to Doaks Ferry.
2. The map given to council was incorrect. Landaggard is currently a local street and in the current TSP it is only a "possible" link between Colorado and Orchard Heights. Other possible Colorado to Orchard Heights links were previously identified.
3. The map given to council members incorrectly extends Landaggard up to the proposed alignment of the proposed link of Colorado to Doaks Ferry.

The questions I intend to raise during the public hearing are outlined below.

1. What is the legal basis upon which Council may modify the Salem TSP by adopting a collector street alignment that does not nor will not conform to the cited requirements of the Salem Revised Code?

2. Does the proposed future alignment of Colorado Drive meet the Polk County partition conditions providing for a future road upon the creation of Tax Lot 100?
3. The tentative Titan Hill subdivision includes a future Colorado Drive slope greater than 8 percent. Why? Has the Tax Lot 400 owner provided evidence that there are no alignments across the Tax Lot 400 (Titan Hill) topography that will permit Colorado Drive to maintain an 8 percent or less slope between Tax Lot 500 and Doaks Ferry Road?<sup>[1](#)</sup>
4. What is the logic of the revised Salem TSP that bisects Tax Lot 500 in such a manner that the northwest corner of that tax lot is isolated from the remaining bulk of Tax Lot 500? Since Salem roadways create new tax lot boundaries, what will happen to the narrow strip of land in Tax Lot 500 east of Colorado Drive once Colorado is extended southward into TL 500 and subsequently eastward into TL 400 (Titan Hill)?
5. How will the proposed Salem TSP modification implement the transition between the current Colorado Drive 68-foot R-O-W and the proposed TL 400 (Titan Hill) Colorado Drive 60-foot R-O-W?
6. Under what circumstances may the City of Salem adopt and/or modify the Salem TSP that approves a collector street alignment that does not meet Federal ADA transportation facility requirements?

Again, I look forward to working with you to resolve these issues prior to the public hearing. Thank you.

Respectfully,

E.M. Easterly  
503-363-6221

<sup>[1](#)</sup> **SRC 803.035. - Street standards.**

All public and private streets shall be improved as follows:

(c) No grade of a collector street shall exceed eight percent.