From: Gary Pullman

To: CityRecorder

Subject: Salem Air service

Date: Monday, January 2, 2023 3:28:12 PM

To whom it may concern:

Let's not subsidize air service in Salem until we've figured out some other important issues such as housing our houseless neighbors, making it a lot easier to get around town without a ton of automobile, etc.

Gary Pullman AKAGP From: Roberta A

To: <u>CityRecorder</u>; <u>Virginia Stapleton</u>

Cc: Roberta A; citycouncil

Subject: Air service: Haste Makes Waste

Date: Monday, January 2, 2023 2:51:56 PM

City Recorder, Councilor Stapleton,

At the Salem City Council meeting on the 9th, I want a 'no' vote on the air service study being considered. We've been there, done that; the economy is not more favorable now. And the terminal plans fall short of accommodating those people in the upper income bracket who are expected to use the service.

Will Salem's Climate Action Plan (CAP) be considered? Indeed, adding carbon to the atmosphere from planes seems counter-intuitive to the new ban on the sale of new gasoline-powered passenger vehicles in Oregon by 2035 in order to decrease carbon emissions.

Let's not throw good money after bad. If property owners will be taxed for over two decades to subsidize this service, where is the value? I'd much rather see tax dollars improve our existing transportation system.

Work with the state and federal government to lay more rail; add trains and buses; and improve stops and routes. Why not consider subsidizing lower and middle income transportation users? These changes will not have the same negative environmental impact as planes. A plus, these improvements will add jobs to the area and improve livability in our community.

Last but not least, please don't let an airline company push you into a hasty decision. Please keep in mind the wasted millions on the third bridge studies. That is a mistake to avoid.

Thank you for listening,

Roberta Cade 1321 Chemeketa St NE Salem OR, 97301 503 580-2878