

CITY OF SALEM

Written Testimony

City Council

555 Liberty St SE
Salem, OR 97301

Monday, May 13, 2019

6:00 PM

Council Chambers

4.a. [19-205](#) Unified Development Code Update

Ward(s): All Wards

Councilor(s): All Councilors

Neighborhood(s): All Neighborhoods

Result Area(s): Good Governance; Welcoming and Livable Community

Recommendation:

Engross Ordinance Bill No. 6-19, amending the Unified Development Code and other chapters of the Salem Revised Code, and advance it to second reading as engrossed.

Attachments:

[Overview of Proposed Amendments](#)

[Ordinance Bill No. 6-19](#)

[Ordinance Bill No. 6-19 - Exhibit A](#)

[Ordinance 6-19 Exhibit B \(Findings\)](#)

[Planning Commission Recommendation \(March 5, 2019\)](#)

[Recommended Additional Revisions to Ordinance](#)

[Code Graphics Recommended to be Included in SRC Chapters 800 & 806 - Attachmer](#)

[Public Comment received 5-2-19](#)

[Public Comments received by 3:30 p.m. 5-13-19](#)

Add - Written Testimony.

6.d. [19-197](#) Planning Commission Decision - Comprehensive Plan Map Change /
Zone Change / Subdivision / Class 2 Adjustment Case No.
CPC-ZC-SUB-ADJ19 -02 - Approved - 2600 Center Street NE -
Mountain West Investment Corporation - A Minor Comprehensive Plan
Map Amendment and Zone Change from Public and Private Health
Services to Single Family and Multi-Family Residential designations to
allow for a future mixed density residential development, a subdivision
to further divide the subject property into four lots, and an Adjustment
to lot depth, connectivity, and setback requirements.

Ward(s): 2

Councilor(s): Andersen

Neighborhood(s): NESCA and NEN

Recommendation:

Information Only.

Attachments:

[Land Use Decision CPC-ZC-SUB-ADJ19-02](#)

[Public Comment received 5-10-19](#)

MAY 10 2019

SALEM LEGAL DEPT

May 10, 2019

Salem City Council
555 Liberty St S.E
Salem, Oregon 97301

Re: Proposed Amendments to the Salem Revised Code (SRC) Relating to Beekeeping

Mayor Bennett and members of the Council my name is Ralph (Mike) Rodia, I appear before you as a long-time Salem beekeeper, a member of the Willamette Beekeepers Association (WVBA) and as a representative of the Oregon State Beekeepers Association (OSBA). Over the last 20 years or so I have appeared before a number of Oregon governmental agencies to present information and arguments against bans and unneeded or unnecessary codes limiting or regulating residential/urban beekeeping. Everyone of those agencies either deleted their bans and/or limits entirely or modified them. It is, therefore, most unusual for me to appear before you in support of adding codes to regulate residential/urban beekeeping.

A bit of history. Forty or fifty years or so ago, cities and counties including Salem and Marion County adopted codes limiting farming operations in residential areas. These included bans on livestock, chickens, fur-bearing animals and **commercial** (emphasis added) beekeeping. Although, Salem's codes allowed residential beekeeping of five or fewer hives, those codes were misinterpreted and the ban on **commercial** beekeeping was applied by code enforcement officers to residential beekeeping. After intervention by the City Council, about 20 years ago, code enforcement stopped the misinterpreted prohibition. Later the codes were changed and the allowance deleted, except that Salem continued to allow residential/urban beekeeping and to "regulate" the practice through the use of general nuisance citations, when and as needed.

Marion County has continued to apply its earlier ban on **commercial** beekeeping to county Residential RS zones including the area NE of Chemeketa Community College. Despite the adoption of HB 2653 in 2015 "promoting" residential beekeeping and the issuance of the OSU Guide to Residential Beekeeping which recognize and support residential beekeeping, the County Planning staff has held that since Salem does not have **specific** codes that allow for residential beekeeping, staff will not propose changes to the County's codes covering Residential RS zones, until Salem adopts their changes. This delay could then result in the City and County having a uniform, or at least a similar set of, residential beekeeping codes.

So, the adoption of the proposed additions to the SRC accomplishes several things. This will ensure:

1. Residential/urban beekeeping is **specifically** allowed in Salem residential and other areas,

2. Codes will be in place to assist beekeepers and, as needed, code enforcement personnel to help ensure the allowed beekeeping will not become a nuisance,

3. And, it will motivate and “allow” Marion County to move ahead and remove their ban on Residential RS zone beekeeping.

There are very few problems that arise from residential/urban beekeeping whether in the city or in a county RS zone. In practice, there are only a few complaints each year with concerns about swarms, honeybees in water sources, or fears of being stung when outside or in the yard. In many cases, it is yellow jackets (which are wasps not honeybees) that pester and sting or possibly feral bees from adjoining areas.. Beekeepers want to be good neighbors and even without specific codes, they will follow the guidelines now available in the OSU in the “Residential Beekeeping Best Practice Guidelines for Nuisance-Free Beekeeping in Oregon” (copy enclosed) that has been and will be provided to every current or new residential/urban beekeeper in Oregon.

The OSBA believes most rules (other than general nuisance codes) limiting residential/urban beekeeping are unnecessary. That is also true of some of proposed code. However given the history of Salem and Marion County concerning this issue, it seems, the city and county need to adopt specific codes that allow for residential/urban beekeeping. Therefore, we restate our support for the proposed addition of the proposed beekeeping codes to the SRC.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Ralph (Mike) Rodia
Agricultural Liaison, Oregon State Beekeepers Association
4194-12th St Cut Off S.E.
Salem, Oregon 97302

ph: 503-364-3275 E-Mail: rrodia@msn.com

Enclosure: “Residential Beekeeping Best Practices...”

Residential Beekeeping

Best-practice guidelines for nuisance-free beekeeping in Oregon



Photo: Stephen Ward, © Oregon State University

Andony Melathopoulos, Ralph (Mike) Rodia, Jen Holt, and Ramesh Sagili

Introduction

Why residential beekeeping is important

Many people around the world keep colonies of honey bees in residential areas as a hobby and source of recreation, and as a way to increase backyard garden fruit and seed set. Consequently, honey bees are found everywhere, from the roofs and terraces of public buildings in high-density city cores (Figure 1) to suburban backyards. While residential beekeeping can prove extremely rewarding to the beekeeper (a single colony can produce more than 40 pounds of honey, as well as other valuable products such as pollen, propolis, and wax), it also provides considerable benefits to neighbors and the city as a whole.

Honey bees play an important role in the residential community, providing pollination for the beekeeper's property and for properties up to two miles away. As cities and towns encourage residential beekeeping and it becomes more established, the benefits increase and become integrated into a number of public services, such as educational projects, income opportunities for under-employed populations, and personal and community-building activities. Many residential beekeepers in Oregon belong to urban chapters of the Oregon State Beekeepers Association (OSBA). Through OSBA chapters, beekeepers provide outreach and education



Photo: Michael Thompson

Figure 1. Honey bees located on the roof of Chicago City Hall, operated by the Chicago Honey Cooperative

to diverse urban audiences, including making presentations to K-12 classes and staffing information tables at farmers markets, regional fairs, and public field days.

About this guide

Across the United States, some cities and towns do not permit residential beekeeping; some permit beekeeping if the beekeeper adheres to certain restrictions; and others permit beekeeping with no restrictions, provided the beekeeping does not become a public nuisance. In 2015, the Oregon legislature signed House Bill (HB) 2653 into law to address loss and/or decline of many pollinator species (including honey bees), the growth of residential/urban beekeeping, and the need to regulate it.

The intent of HB 2653 is for Oregon State University to develop guidelines for best practices that, if followed, would ensure beekeeping activities do not develop into a nuisance. The best practices guidelines would make it possible to use existing local nuisance ordinances instead of new legal restrictions for managing conflicts that arise from beekeeping in residential areas. By January 1, 2019, local governments will review and consider these best practices guidelines, along with existing ordinances, and decide whether or not to adopt new ordinances relating to residential beekeeping.

This publication outlines the guidelines for best practices. It is designed to supplement beekeeping education by making people aware of specific management practices that greatly reduce the risk of residential beekeeping turning into either a private or public nuisance. Beekeepers who follow and document the use of these practices will help address the concerns that neighbors, the public, and local government officials

have about residential beekeeping activities. Public officials, the general public, and others interested in learning about honey bees can also use these guidelines as a source of information about the kinds of steps residential beekeepers can take to operate their bees in nuisance-free manner. These guidelines do not address the issue of Africanized honey bees, which have not been documented yet in Oregon. Nor are these guidelines intended to cover the management of mason bees, bumble bees, yellowjackets, or other insects. These best practices guidelines cover three broad sections:

1. Key concepts for nuisance-free residential beekeeping
2. Specific practices to reduce nuisances of residential beekeeping
3. Legal considerations for residential beekeepers and guidelines for local governments

Nuisance-free honey bee beekeeping

The legal definition of a nuisance goes beyond the everyday meaning of being bothersome. Legally speaking, an activity becomes a nuisance when a person's use of or activity on a property infringes on the rights of another person's property (private nuisance) or on the rights of the general public (public nuisance). This infringement might be anything that:

- Is considered harmful to public health, safety, or convenience;
- Impedes the ability of people to enjoy public or private property; or
- Lowers the physical condition or value of surrounding property.



Photo: Cassie Plotnikoff

Why is residential beekeeping important?

Case study: Hives for Humanity Society, Vancouver, British Columbia

The Hives for Humanity Society, founded on the east side of downtown Vancouver, British Columbia in 2012, operates in one of the most economically depressed inner-city neighborhoods in Canada. The at-risk, marginalized population living in the neighborhood is hard to reach through traditional public services, but the Hives for Humanity Society has developed programs that tap into the therapeutic capacity of working with bees to help community members develop a sense of self-worth and opportunity. The organization typically engages 65 participants across 13 locations per week and have recently developed the "Bee Space," a community center that hosts workshops and provides volunteer and employment opportunities.

Pictured: Sarah Common of the Hives for Humanity Society explains honey bee biology to visitors in an urban apiary in downtown Vancouver, British Columbia.



Want to learn how to keep bees?

These guidelines are not a definitive guide to beekeeping but rather a supplement to a residential beekeeper's education. Competent beekeeping requires hands-on training and mentoring, so before purchasing bees, new beekeepers should sign up for a course or work alongside an experienced beekeeper. There are numerous private and public programs around Oregon for those interested in learning about beekeeping. These include hands-on beekeeping education through the statewide Oregon Master Beekeeper program (www.oregonmasterbeekeeper.org) and classes from providers of honey bee equipment and supplies. A listing of many other educational opportunities, including basic beekeeping classes, can be found on the Oregon State Beekeepers Association (OSBA) website (<http://orsba.org>).

Pictured: Taking a beekeeping class is an important precondition towards becoming a residential beekeeper.

In this sense, honey bees and beekeeping are not legally a nuisance but can become a nuisance if a person fails to manage their honey bees properly.

The recommendations in this document reflect our best understanding of honey bee biology and colony management. When followed, they will significantly reduce the risk that residential beekeeping activities will develop into a nuisance. These best-practice guidelines do not constitute hard and fast rules, as the conditions under which honey bees may become a nuisance vary, depending on a number of circumstances. Rather, when considered together, these guidelines will help beekeepers develop the skills and judgment to minimize the risk that their honey bees will interfere with a neighbor's and/or the public's right to enjoy their private and public spaces. With judgment and care, residential beekeeping is an activity that enhances everyone's overall experience of the city and its outdoor spaces.

Key concepts

The honey bee's flight path

The first consideration for practicing nuisance-free beekeeping is deciding how far a colony will be located from a neighbor's or the public's property (i.e., the amount of setback). Distance alone does not account for the honey bee's flight path; bees do not fly at a consistent height or always in straight paths. The foraging flights of honey bees begin at the colony exit/entrance. The colony can be located anywhere between ground level and the top of a building. If the colony is at ground level, the foraging honey bees typically fly to a height above that of the average person within a few feet of

the exit/entrance. If the colony is elevated, the foraging honey bees will begin their forward flights above ground level. As bees travel about 10 feet from the exit/entrance, their numbers quickly thin out as they disperse in a wide area and to higher heights.

Honey bee water foraging

Water has two uses in the colony. The first is to cool the colony, and the second is to thin stored honey. Honey bees will seek out water wherever it is available, whether in a bird bath or other water feature, swimming pool, runoff, or a water source provided by the beekeeper.


To cool the colony, bees disperse water through the colony's brood nest. Fanning (the rapid movement of the wings of many bees) at the colony entrance increases the evaporation and cooling power of the dispersed water. In the spring and summer, when daytime temperatures increase, foragers begin looking for sources of water. Unlike honey and pollen, water is not stored in the colony and must be collected when it is needed. Therefore, water demand in a colony can occur quickly in response to abrupt swings in temperature.

Water foraging to dilute stored honey is largely restricted to early spring, before the first major honey flow begins. Consequently, foraging for water to dilute nectar decreases as soon as fresh nectar becomes available.

Swarming

It is a natural process for part of a parent colony to split and relocate; however, many people become concerned when they see a honey bee swarm in flight or when they find one settled on their property (e.g., in a tree or under their house eaves). Honey bee swarms are not aggressive.

How can you direct a bee's flight path?



The flight path behavior of bees can be directed away from private and public activities so that setbacks are unnecessary or need only be minimal. This can be accomplished by either: A) raising a colony onto a roof top, balcony, or other elevated position, or B) placing obstacles (such as a hedge or lattice) in a colony's flight path.

Illustration: Iris Kormann, © Oregon State University

They are only looking for a new home and may pause temporarily in their flight before moving on (Figure 2). Using proper colony management techniques, beekeepers can decrease swarming by reducing the cues that bees use in deciding whether or not to swarm.

Although swarming is timed with the development of new queens in a colony, the triggers for a colony to swarm involve three cues: a minimum colony size of six frames of bees is reached, the colony has become congested, and the colony age structure is young because many young bees were born at once. Beekeepers can manage all three of these cues.

Defensive behavior

Studies have indicated that most people cannot identify stinging insects with certainty. When they can distinguish between them, the bulk of the stings they report are from yellowjackets or hornets. The lack of confirmed stings from honey bees is because honey bees are generally not defensive. If they exhibit defensive

behavior, it is usually restricted to within a few yards of their colony and only if the colony has been disturbed.

Stings and allergies

Honey bees are only likely to sting after they become highly defensive. There are two types of reactions to being stung, a local reaction (e.g., pain, swelling, and redness) and a potentially life-threatening, systemic allergic reaction called anaphylaxis that can result in throat swelling, shortness of breath, lightheadedness, and low blood pressure. Most people experience a local reaction to a bee sting. Estimates, based on self-reported data, suggest that 0.3% to 7.5% of all insect stings result in a systemic anaphylactic reaction, with lower rates reported for children (0.15% to 0.3%) (reviewed in Biló, et al. 2005).

While anaphylaxis can prove fatal if not immediately treated by a health care professional, the incidence of insect sting-related deaths (hornet, bee, and wasp stings combined) in the United States remains very low (0.195 per million) (Forrester, et al. 2012). Deaths attributed to insect stings in northern states such as Oregon are lower than the national average (Forrester et al. 2012). Systemic reactions can also develop from other stinging insects, such as yellowjackets, hornets, and bumble bees. Since the public may not be able to differentiate these species (Ratnieks, et al. 2016), many self-reported bee stings are likely caused by social wasps (Barr 1974).

Robbing

Foraging honey bees typically fly from their colony to flowers to collect nectar and pollen and rarely visit neighboring colonies. This pattern gets disrupted in late summer and early fall when the flowers begin to fade and the foraging honey bees turn their attention to other colonies or non-floral sources of sugar (e.g., spilled sugar syrup or rotting fruit on the ground). Honey bees



Photo: Jen Holt, © Oregon State University

Figure 2. Honey bee swarm resting

What factors contribute to swarming?

Big colony:
More than six frames of adult bees



Colony congestion:
Bees confined to a few boxes



Young colony:
Many young adult workers born at once



Managing colony size, congestion, and age structure will reduce the likelihood of swarming. Although swarming is timed with the development of new queens in a colony, the triggers for a colony to swarm involve three cues: a minimum colony size of six frames of bees is reached, the colony has become congested, and the colony age structure is young because many young bees were born at once. Beekeepers can manage all three of these cues.

Left and center photos: Andony Melathopoulos, © Oregon State University; right photo: Lynae Ovinge

that rob can become defensive. In addition, the searching behavior of robbing honey bees can result in the honey bees becoming a nuisance for neighbors. Foraging honey bees will seek out weaker colonies to steal their honey, leading to a defensive response by the weaker colony, which can also result in a nuisance for neighbors. Robbing behavior is distinctive—honey bees can be seen fighting on the ground, or a frenzied group can rapidly appear if a comb of honey is left out of the colony or if syrup, nectar, or honey is spilled on the ground.

Strategic practices to reduce honey bee nuisances

Become an educated beekeeper

New beekeepers should attend a local beekeeping association's "Bee School" to learn what is necessary to manage honey bees and gain hands-on experience before receiving their packages or nucs of honey bees. Because beekeepers need to order honey bee packages or nucs, the bees may arrive before the beekeeper has had the chance to attend a course. As such, beekeepers-in-training should begin with one to two colonies until they develop their skills.

It is important for new beekeepers to become competent in handling frames in a gentle manner. This skill is rarely learned without the assistance of a hands-on mentor. Many of the regional associations of the Oregon State Beekeepers Association (OSBA), along with the Oregon Master Beekeeper program, offer mentoring opportunities that are particularly well suited to helping new beekeepers learn to work gently with bees.

Siting your apiary properly

- **Consider sun and wind exposure:** Place colonies where they will get first light and sun for most of the day. If possible, the colonies should face southeast. Windbreaks are highly desirable. If windbreaks are not possible, colony lids should be weighted with rocks or bricks (Figure 3, page 6).
- **Space between colonies:** Separate colonies so that the beekeeper can work comfortably to the side of one colony without bumping into and disturbing an adjacent colony. Adequate space between colonies will also minimize the likelihood of bees drifting between colonies.
- **Locate away from sensitive areas:** Do not locate bees directly adjacent to high traffic public areas or an area frequently used by neighbors unless the flight path has been blocked by a barrier (see "Honey bee flight path barriers," page 6).
- **Do not block emergency access:** Do not place colonies in the path emergency workers would use to access the property (e.g., a side yard).
- **Security:** Restrict visibility of the apiary and the public's access to it.
- **Provide access:** Be sure you are able to move equipment and honey bees into the apiary in a secure and safe manner. Apiaries elevated from the ground may require special considerations for sealing and securing equipment.
- **Get permission:** If you plan to put your honey bees on property that is not your own, make sure

to get the owner's permission and make them aware of any legal and liability implications.

Honey bee flight path barriers

The flight path of honey bees can be altered to reduce or eliminate people's contact with them within a few feet of the apiary. There are two ways of altering the honey bees' flight path:

- **Elevation:** Elevate the apiary to more than 10 feet above where people are (Figure 4, page 7).
- **Barriers:** Place a barrier (6 feet or higher) between the apiary and an adjoining property (Figure 5, page 7).

Photo: Jen Holt, © Oregon State University



Figure 3: A well-situated backyard apiary surrounded by tall fencing and with restricted access

In both cases, honey bees are far less likely to become defensive to activity on the other side of the barrier or at ground level and will leave the colony at a height above neighboring properties.

Solid barriers offer the most benefits, although the flight path of foraging bees is still disrupted by porous lattice walls, hedges, and other obstacles. The barrier should extend approximately 10 feet on either side of the apiary; however, this distance may need to be adjusted depending on the situation.

Number of colonies per apiary

Beginning beekeepers should restrict the number of their colonies per apiary to one to two colonies, until they are confident in managing colony defensive behavior. Beekeepers may expand their apiary as they develop experience and confidence. There are no studies that link a precise number of colonies to whether an apiary will develop into a nuisance or not. How defensive honey bees are outside of a property depends on multiple factors, such as apiary location and topography, the density of the residential neighborhood, and the density and maturity of vegetation in the area (e.g., old big trees). Experienced beekeepers should therefore add additional colonies gradually and regularly walk around the

How to manage defensive behavior in bees

There are four basic cues that cause a colony to become defensive: whether the colony is disturbed, its genetics, its age structure, and external environmental factors. As colonies become defensive, they release pheromones that recruit other honey bees into the defensive response. The key principle is that once a colony has grown defensive, it takes time to bring the colony back to its resting state.

Upper left photo: Carolyn Breece; remaining photos: Andony Melathopoulos; all © Oregon State University

Disturbance:

- Colony excessively knocked
- Opening the colony without smoke
- Vibrations around the colony



Colony age structure:

Colonies with older bees are more defensive (e.g., late winter, fall and queenless for a prolonged period).



Colony genetics:

European bee stocks vary in their defensiveness. Some stocks are remarkably docile.



Environmental conditions:

Bees are less defensive during nectar flow and with good foraging weather.



periphery of the property to assess whether the apiary has become overstocked and monitor for defensiveness.

Honey bee access to water

To prevent honey bee nuisances that arise from their need for water, create attractive alternatives to sources of water that are not on the apiary property. Maintain access to the water source throughout the water foraging season. To create water source that is attractive to honey bees:

- **Establish the water source early:** For best results, provide the apiary water source early in the spring, before the honey bees start searching for water.
- **Maintain a water reservoir:** Never allow the apiary water to go dry during the water collection months. If this happens, the bees will seek out another source of water and may not return to the apiary water source. If this happens, the honey bees could potentially develop into a nuisance.
- **Use floats:** To prevent water-seeking honey bees from drowning, put floats or other landing objects in open pools, bird baths, tubs and other containers. Pebbles, corks and floating items of wood, straw, or plastic can be used for these platforms (Figure 6).
- **Change water:** The apiary water should be changed frequently to avoid stagnation and mosquito breeding. Changing the water may not be necessary if the apiary water source has a spigot or hose that slowly allows fresh water to drip into the source container.

Working the honey bee colony

It is important to be gentle when working with honey bees. Avoid jerky movements and jarring the colony, which could cause honey bees to become defensive and a nuisance. A beekeeper needs to use a properly lit smoker when opening the colonies, continuously applying smoke in a judicious manner until the colony is closed. Because effective use of these techniques is a cornerstone of residential beekeeping, new beekeepers should practice them under the guidance of an experienced beekeeper.

The following guidelines for working around colonies will significantly reduce a colony's inclination to become nuisance:

- **Work gently and work with smoke:** Beekeepers should learn to work colonies in a smooth manner. They should learn to light, maintain, and use a smoker from an experienced mentor (Figure 7, page 8).



Photo: Andony Melathopoulos,
© Oregon State University

Figure 4: Example of how elevating an apiary above a very public courtyard (at Oregon State University) can alter the flight path of foraging bees in such a way that their flight path does not interfere with foot traffic at ground level



Photo: Jen Holt, © Oregon State University

Figure 5: Example of extensive use of flight barriers (shown here, a fence and tall vegetation) altering the foraging bees' flight path so that the path is dispersed by the time the bees reach the property line. The fence and vegetation also conceal the apiary from passersby and restricts access to the apiary.



Photo: Moris Ostrofsky

Figure 6: Example of a water source that includes a rock that allows bees to access the water without drowning.



Figure 7: A well-lit smoker is a key feature of working colonies. Colonies should be smoked before opening and periodically smoked during the course of the colony operation.

- **Close colonies:** The lid on a colony should not be left off for more than 10 minutes. If the beekeeper is called away by another task (e.g., answering the phone) they should always close the colonies before tending to that task.
- **Close up when colonies become defensive:** If the beekeeper is working with multiple colonies and notices heightened defensiveness, they should close the colony they are working on and discontinue working any of the colonies for the rest of the day.
- **Arrange colonies in the apiary:** Colonies should be arranged so that the beekeeper can work at the side or back of the colony (i.e., not in the honey bee foragers' flight path) and without bumping adjacent colonies (Figure 8, page 9).
- **Work when people are absent:** Beekeepers should not work colonies when people are within 10 feet of the colonies, unless they are wearing protective beekeeping equipment, behind a barrier or well below an elevated apiary (see section above "Honey bee flight path barriers", page 6).
- **Work bees when they are flying:** Beekeepers should work honey bees between mid-morning and mid-afternoon, when most of the foragers are away from the colony and busy gathering nectar and pollen. They should avoid opening colonies at dawn or dark.
- **Stay out of colonies in poor weather:** Beekeepers should not work colonies during adverse weather (e.g., cool and damp weather or when a storm is coming).
- **Stay out of colonies during nectar dearth:** Beekeepers should avoid or minimize working bees during a nectar dearth (i.e., shortage of available nectar from blooming plants). See "Robbing", page 4. If it is absolutely necessary to work colonies, beekeepers should limit these entries to days with cooler weather.
- **Requeen defensive colonies:** If a colony is particularly defensive, beekeepers should replace the queen (queens are generally available for purchase from April to July).

What is the difference between a local reaction and an allergic reaction to a bee sting?



Local reaction

Anaphylaxis (allergic reaction)	
	Cardiovascular: Dizziness, weak pulse, fainting, shock, loss of consciousness
	Respiratory: Coughing, wheezing, shortness of breath, hay-fever symptoms
	Gastrointestinal: Nausea, stomach pain or cramps, vomiting, diarrhea
	Neurological: Anxiety, feeling of impending doom

Following a sting, people may experience one of two reactions. A local reaction will hurt and results in itching, swelling, and redness in the immediate area around the sting. Depending on how much venom was injected and the location of the sting, the local reaction can result in excessive swelling, but the swelling generally peaks within 24 to 48 hours. In contrast, anaphylaxis affects general body systems resulting in hives across the skin, difficulty breathing, cramps, nausea, loss of consciousness and, if left untreated, can result in death.

- **Extract honey:** Bees should be separated from honey supers in a way that prevents them from becoming defensive. Honey bee escapes provide one of the best options for residential beekeepers to remove honey (Figure 9). Beekeepers should avoid removing honey bees by blowing them from the colony using a powered “bee” or leaf blower; this greatly disturbs the colony. Gently shaking and brushing honey bees from comb is acceptable, but it can be a slow process and may result in the colony becoming defensive.
- **Avoid mowing grass around colonies:** Honey bees can become particularly defensive when lawn is cut within a few feet of the colonies, particularly if the cutting is done with an electric or gas-powered trimmer or edger. Beekeepers should use less disruptive methods for lawn management in the apiary and consider cutting grass around colonies as close to dusk as possible.

Robbing and beekeeping equipment storage

Properly storing comb, colony boxes, and unused frames with wax foundation helps reduce the risk that honey bees will develop into a nuisance. Good storage practices restrict bees’ access to equipment and help ensure it does not attract honey bees and other stinging insects outside the apiary site, which can become a particular problem when honey bees stop foraging and begin robbing. Robbing frequently coincides with the removal of honey supers late in the season. As highlighted below, colonies should be worked sparingly after colonies begin to rob.

The following guidelines will significantly reduce honey bee attraction to stored equipment and robbing:

- **Store equipment in a building that excludes bees:** Outdoor equipment storage should not be used except as a temporary measure and never when bees are robbing.
- **Limit exposure of comb:** Beekeepers should avoid working colonies when bees are robbing. If the beekeeper has to inspect a colony, exposure should be minimized by carefully covering exposed comb with moist burlap or a hive cover.
- **Dispose of wax and debris:** Pieces of wax that are removed from colonies should be taken from the bee yard and stored. Failure to do so may attract pests, such as skunks, that will attack the colony, eat honey bees, and cause the colony to become very defensive. Clean the ground around the colony after working the colonies by removing and placing wax pieces and other debris in a



Photo: Jen Holt, © Oregon State University

Figure 8. Colonies should be arranged in the apiary to enable the beekeeper to work with without bumping adjacent colonies or standing in the colony flight path.



Photo: Geoff Wilson

Figure 9: A bee escape is placed between the supers and brood chamber and allows honey harvest with minimal disruption. Although there are many designs, all include some form of one-way valve (red cones in this image) that cause the bees to empty from the supers into the brood nest over the course of a day.

bucket, and then sealing and relocating the bucket away from bee access at the end of the day.

- **Avoid open-feeding of bees:** The bulk outdoor feeding of sugar syrup involves filling a bucket, barrel, stock tank, or other container with sugar syrup and providing the bees with a float (out of wood, straw, or other material) to stand on when accessing the sugar syrup. This practice is strongly discouraged for the residential beekeeper; using internal feeders is a better approach. In addition, Boardman-style feeders that fit into the colony’s bottom board should be avoided when colonies begin robbing; these feeders

Photo: Jen Holt, © Oregon State University



Figure 10: An entrance reducer allows bees to defend their entrance, reducing overall colony defensiveness during periods of robbing.

Photo: Andony Melathopoulos, © Oregon State University




Figure 11: When brood chambers form a "crown" of honey across the top of the frames it can slow the movement of surplus honey up to the supers, particularly when a queen excluder is being used. If "crowning" occurs, brood chambers need to be reversed and colonies supered.

Photo: Jen Holt, © Oregon State University



Figure 12: Advanced swarm queen cells that will be capped within 1 to 2 days. Queen cells associated with swarming typically form on the edges of the comb, often on the bottom bars of the comb. Prior to a swarm, there will be around a dozen or more cells present.

are easily accessed by bees from other colonies, as well as by yellowjackets and hornets.

- **Ensure syrup feeders do not leak:** Feeders should not leak syrup. If they leak, the sugar syrup needs to be cleaned up promptly by diluting it with water to avoid robbing. The feeders should be removed and repaired or replaced.
- **Reduce robbing during honey harvest:** After removal from the colony, honey supers should be quickly covered and placed out of reach of foraging honey bees.
- **Reduce robbing by reducing entrances:** Robbing by other colonies can be curtailed significantly by reducing the size of colony exit/entrances to make it easier for colonies to defend themselves (Figure 10).

Honey bees and skunks

Skunks are insectivores and are one of the few species of rodents that will eat live honey bees at the entrance of the colony. Skunks are an issue for nuisance-free beekeeping. When a skunk disturbs a colony (usually in the evening) and eats the responding honey bees, the colony will be more defensive for the next few days.

It is easy to detect whether a skunk has been visiting an apiary. Skunks typically scratch at the exit/entrance of the colony as a way to locate the weaker colonies and draw the honey bees out. Consequently, exit/entrances and areas in front of the colony are usually muddled or will have visible scratch marks. One might also notice that grass in front of the colony is torn up because skunks may roll around in the grass after being stung.

If a colony is being visited by skunks, the best defense is to elevate the colony off the ground by at least a foot, or to install nail beds or chicken wire guards around the front of the colony. These devices dissuade skunks because their underbellies and paws are very sensitive. Raising colonies at least a foot off the ground also exposes the skunk's belly to stings as they reach for the colony entrance.

Preventing honey bee swarm

To prevent colonies from taking the initial steps towards swarming, beekeepers should:

- **Super colonies early:** Beekeepers should place honey supers on top of brood chambers well before the main nectar flow. Moreover, beekeepers should monitor the filling of the super and create additional honey storage space (supers) before the existing super(s) are approximately two-thirds full of honey.

- **Reverse brood chamber if brood nest is honey-bound:** If the upper brood chamber of a two-chamber brood nest has become honey-bound (filled with honey), then the brood chamber boxes should be reversed before adding any additional super boxes (Figure 11, page 10).
- **Split colonies in the spring:** When the colony is particularly strong and contains large numbers of young bees, the colony should be split before adding additional supers.

Honey bee swarm control

When swarm cells appear, the colony is at high risk of swarming (Figure 12, page 10). The removal of queen swarm cells may not be sufficient to prevent a swarm, as the colony will produce new queen swarm cells, and some of these cells may be located where the beekeeper cannot see them. In this situation, the colony will have to be significantly reduced in size by splitting off and moving at least half of the sealed brood and bees and replacing the frames with undrawn foundation.

Queenless colonies/requeening

Ideally, new or replacement honey bee queens should be selected for gentleness. This will minimize defensive/stinging behaviors directed at the beekeeper as well as at neighbors and the public. If a colony has become unusually defensive and is at risk of becoming a nuisance, the beekeepers should:

- **Move the colony:** The colony should be moved to a location with a lower risk of interacting with neighbors or the public.
- **Requeen:** Kill the original queen and replace her with a new queen within 24 hours.

Colonies that become queenless are often more defensive as they gradually become populated with older bees. Colonies may lose queens in a number of different ways, including when queens are accidentally crushed by the beekeeper during colony inspection, when they die of old age or disease, or are superseded. Supersedure is a process when the workers decide that the queen is not productive enough and they replace her (Figure 13). Queenless colonies are easily identifiable by emergency queen cells that are added to the face of brood frames within 1 to 2 days of the queen being lost and by the absence of newly laid eggs within 4 days of the queen being lost. If the colony fails to produce a new queen, it will not rear a new queen (such a colony is termed "hopelessly queenless"). A hopelessly queenless colony becomes very defensive and is difficult to requeen. For this reason, it is important to identify queenless colonies



Figure 13: Sealed supersedure or emergency queen cells that indicate either the death of the queen or a poor-quality queen. Unlike swarm queen cells, emergency or supersedure queen cells are typically located on the face of the comb and will be few in numbers (i.e., one to three cells in the case of supersedure).

Photo: Jen Holt, © Oregon State University



Figure 14: Residential beekeepers should be prepared to answer neighbors' questions about bees, as it can be an excellent opportunity to educate the public.

Photo: Jen Holt, © Oregon State University

early. Requeening can sometimes be difficult; new beekeepers should consult a more experienced beekeeper before proceeding.

Legal considerations for residential beekeepers and guideline for local governments

Honey beekeeper-neighbor interactions

Unless the apiary is located in an isolated area, beekeepers should talk with neighbors about their residential apiary. In many cases, talking with neighbors about beekeeping before or soon after setting up an apiary helps avoid misunderstandings. However, in some situations, beekeepers may decide that it is best to wait and respond to neighbors' questions as they arise. Whichever the case, talking with neighbors should be viewed as an opportunity for beekeepers to provide information about the benefits of honey bees and describe the steps they plan to take to ensure their bees do not become a nuisance (Figure 14). In

these situations, it is also important that beekeepers listen carefully to their neighbors' concerns and be prepared to provide well-researched, objective responses. Beekeepers should be prepared to respond to concerns around stinging and refer their neighbors to materials that:

- Show the difference between social wasps and honey bees, as the nuisance may be wasp- rather than honey bee-related
- Provide options for dealing with social wasps (yellowjackets and hornets)
- Outline the differences between a temporary localized reaction and a systemic allergic reaction (anaphylaxis) to stings, and explain the low incidence of anaphylaxis in the population and steps they should take if they are stung

Moreover, beekeepers should be prepared to discuss the benefits of beekeeping in the city. These benefits can be most directly conveyed by making sure neighbors receive a jar of fresh honey from the apiary or pointing out how honey bees pollinate many backyard garden plants, particularly fruit trees and berry bushes. Beekeepers should also anticipate that neighbors will be curious about honey bees. Honey bees are fascinating creatures and have received considerable media coverage in recent years, so beekeepers should make sure to take the time to answer neighbor and neighborhood questions about bee biology and beekeeping. There are many examples of residential beekeepers who become an important community connection to the natural world and agriculture, particularly when the beekeeper communicates openly with neighbors and is diligent in ensuring their bees never develop into a nuisance. As the relationship between neighbors and the residential beekeeper matures, beekeepers will find that neighbors will develop a sense of ownership of the apiary and will, for example, keep an eye out for any potential vandalism of the colonies.

Residential beekeepers should also make sure that they are protected against any damage their honey bees cause to a third party. Not all home insurance policies cover damages associated with residential beekeeping, so the beekeeper should understand their coverage before setting up an apiary.

Honey bee public education/service

Beekeepers should keep their eye out for local opportunities to answer public questions about honey bees, beekeeping, and pollination, particularly at farmers markets, summer festivals, and with school groups. Begin

education with immediate neighbors and then expand your outreach, as time permits. The Oregon Master Beekeepers Program trains volunteers who can help beekeepers prepare for education and outreach events and who are also available to talk to neighborhood and community associations and local schools.

Honey bee swarm collection

An important element of neighbor-beekeeper relations is that the beekeeper responds promptly and sympathetically to calls about swarms. Beekeepers should not assume that their neighbors know about swarms and should be prepared to address concerns with reference to swarm biology (i.e., how swarming is the way honey bees reproduce, that swarms are not defensive, and that they are transient and will soon move to another location). The process of swarm collection can be complicated, and swarms in residential areas sometimes land in extremely awkward or difficult places for removal. Catching a swarm can turn into a nuisance if the beekeeper does not know what they are doing or they are not prepared with the right tools for the removal or both. It is advisable that a new residential beekeeper first watch a mentor collect a swarm. If the beekeeper is unable to capture the swarm, they should contact the closest regional Oregon State Beekeeper Association (<http://orsba.org>) chapter as they typically maintain a listing of beekeepers willing and qualified to remove swarms. Some of these volunteers have extensive experience and are able to, if needed, remove honey bee swarms from nearly any location.

The inexperienced residential beekeeper should maintain a list of the beekeepers located nearby who are prepared to remove swarms. In many cases, local beekeeping clubs will already maintain a list of experienced beekeepers who can do this. The residential beekeeper could refer a call for swarm collection to one of the listed beekeepers or go with them or both, if it is the inexperienced beekeeper's first time to colony a swarm.

Beekeepers, whether members of the OSBA, its regional associations, or the Oregon Master Beekeeper Program can provide assistance, in most cases without charge, to other beekeepers, the public, and governmental agencies and officials to remove and relocate honey bee swarms that have settled, even temporarily, at an unacceptable site. These beekeepers can be contacted by phone or email through lists maintained by municipal police, fire departments, utility companies, Extension agents, and others. In addition, the OSBA and the Oregon Master Beekeeper Program will provide a list, by local area, of the experts in cities, towns, and counties.

Registration of honey bee colonies

All beekeepers who manage five or more colonies (not including nucs) within the state during the last year are required to register with the Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA). The registration fee is \$10 plus 50 cents per colony, due by June 1 of the current year. There is no grace period, but registration after July 1 of the current year requires a \$20 registration fee plus 50 cents per colony. The registration is for one year and must be made with the ODA each year.

In the past, only those beekeepers engaged in commercial pollination services were required to register. The funds collected by the ODA went into the agency's general operation budget. A new addition to the fee law (ORS Chapter 602) and rules adopted in 2015 require that the registration funds be spent on pollinator research that is predominantly focused on honey bees.

The residential beekeeper with more than five colonies should not ignore the law; it is a legal requirement and has benefits. For instance, registration demonstrates a beekeeper's diligence should a legal issue arise. In addition, the registrant will receive notifications from the ODA on matters relating to honey bee beekeeping, such as the registration of new mite control agents.

State registration can be completed on the ODA website (<https://apps.oregon.gov/sos/licensedirectory/licensedetail/606>) or by downloading and mailing the form to the ODA. Beekeepers can request a hard copy of the application by calling the ODA Pest Prevention and Management Program at (503) 986-4636.

As permitted by Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) Chapter 602.045(2) and 602.090, a local government may charge a reasonable fee for registering colonies in residential areas. That fee may not exceed that charged by the ODA. Although very few local governments charge a registration fee, beekeepers should be aware of this possibility and that it is separate from state registration.

What to do if the residential beekeeper is cited:

- **Provide documentation of having followed these best practices guidelines:** The beekeeper should keep good records of all colony management activities, including robbing and swarming prevention techniques. The records may help the beekeeper demonstrate due diligence in the event of being cited for a nuisance violation (Appendix 1, page 15).

- **Get assistance:** Unless the beekeeper is clearly in violation and the cited ordinance is reasonable and in accordance with these best practices guidelines and ORS Chapter 602, the beekeeper should contact the OSBA. The OSBA will guide the beekeeper through the citation process.
- **Assess the cause of the nuisance and mitigate it:** The beekeeper should work with the OSBA and law enforcement to determine the cause of the nuisance and take steps to prevent further nuisance through mitigation measures (e.g., if bees have become defensive because of skunks, install skunk exclusion devices and reassess the bees' defensiveness to see if it has been reduced).
- **Appeal the citation:** It is important that the beekeeper know that the issuance of a citation or notice of violation, with or without the imposition or threat of a penalty, is usually a civil proceeding and can be appealed. It is not a criminal process, and there is no threat of jail time. Enforcement officers must be able to justify any citation they issue, and the beekeeper has a right to contest the citation and the justification. An appeal or contest starts by meeting with the enforcement officer or their supervisor or both to see if the issue can be resolved. In some cases, because of the way the ordinance is written or interpreted, it may not be possible to resolve the issue at this level. If it becomes necessary to advance beyond the level of meeting with an enforcement officer (e.g., city council), the OSBA will take the lead.
- **Work through existing channels:** If the beekeeper receives a citation, they should not make a major protest that involves friends and the press. The beekeeper should recognize that municipal government and officers are doing their best to serve the public and may have little or no background with honey bees.

Glossary

Africanized honey bees: An aggressive tropical race of honey bees that originated from Africa but were introduced to and have expanded through South and Central America, Mexico, and a number of southern U.S. states. The range of Africanized bees does not extend to Oregon.

Apiary: The place where honey bee colonies are located. An apiary is not defined or limited by acreage or lot size.

***Apis mellifera*:** The species name (which is Latin for “honey-producing bee”) for honey bees. It is the only species among the 500 different species of bees in Oregon that produces a surplus of honey.

Bee: Four-winged insects that are often covered in dense hair and meet all their dietary needs from the nectar and pollen from flowers. There are over 500 species of bees in Oregon, and many of them live naturally in cities. Bees are frequently confused with yellowjackets and hornets.

Brood: The common term for the immature stages of honey bees (e.g., eggs, larvae, and pupae). Capped brood refers to pupae covered by a dome-shaped wax covering.

Brood chamber: Any box that primarily contains the queen and frames of brood. A colony typically has one to two boxes. Different from a super (see below) that is used for honey collection.

Colony: A group of honey bees typically consisting of a single queen (a fertile female), thousands of worker bees (sterile females), drones (males) and brood (immature bees of any sex). The colony is the smallest management unit. In the movable-frame system, the nest may consist of multiple boxes of frames stacked vertically. Frequently used interchangeably with the term hive (see below).

Comb: A collection of hexagonal wax cells typically built along a plane, which houses honey, pollen and/or brood. In the movable-frame system, comb is synonymous with the term frame (where a single unit of comb is equivalent to a frame).

Flight path: The route taken by foraging worker honey bees to and from the colony to gather water, flower nectar, pollen, or propolis.

Foraging honey bees: The older adult, worker honey bees that search for and gather food (e.g., nectar or pollen), propolis, or water.

Hive: A container or collection of boxes for housing honey bees. Typically, a human made box with movable frames, but a hive can occur naturally in a tree or other cavity.

Nucleus colony: A small colony that only contains a few thousand honey bees and a queen. A beekeeper uses a nucleus colony to replace a lost colony, strengthen a weak colony, or add a queen to a colony that has lost its queen. It is not considered a production colony and is often referred to as a nuc.

Package: A screened box filled with worker bees and a caged queen (typically shipped in April and May from a southern state, such as California, to Oregon) that is used to start a new colony.

Pollination: The transfer of pollen from flower to flower that typically results in the fertilization of an ovule. Honey bees assist in this transfer as they forage, and many native and garden plants will not yield fruit or produce seeds without honey bee visits.

Production colony: A colony capable of providing pollination services for fruit or seed production or for producing honey, propolis, beeswax, or other bee products.

Robbing: The process by which honey bees collect (steal) honey from colonies other than their own, from frames of extracted honey, or from spills of sugar syrup or honey. They then transfer the stolen honey to their own colonies.

Super: In most movable-frame systems (not including top-bar colony systems) the super is the box or boxes containing frames used for storing honey and is typically placed above the brood chamber.

Supersedure: The process by which a honey bee colony naturally replaces the resident queen by rearing new queen cells.

Swarm: A collection of honey bees from a colony that has divided. A swarm contains a queen and 30 to 70 percent of the workers, all of which have left the colony to start a new colony elsewhere.

Swarm cells: Prior to swarming honey bee colonies will rear new queen cells, which look distinct from the cells containing work or drone brood.



Photo: Lynn Ketchum,
© Oregon State University

Resources

An updated list of resources on residential beekeeping, as well as a PDF version of these best-practice guidelines are available online at <http://residentialbeekeeping.org>

Key websites

Oregon Bee Project: oregonbeeproject.org

Oregon Department of Agriculture, Bees and Apiaries: www.oregon.gov/ODA/programs/IPPM/InsectsSpiders/Pages/BeesApiaries.aspx

Oregon Master Beekeeper Program: extension.oregonstate.edu/mb/

Oregon State Beekeepers Association: orsba.org

Oregon State Beekeepers Association (local branches): orsba.org/branch/

Oregon State University Apiculture Program: honeybeelab.oregonstate.edu/

Oregon State University Residential Beekeeping: <http://residentialbeekeeping.org>

Beekeeping classes and workshops

Oregon Master Beekeeper Program: A comprehensive beekeeping training program that is a collaboration between Oregon State University and the Oregon State Beekeepers Association. The program includes beginner (Apprentice), intermediate (Journey) and advanced (Master) levels that also includes pairing new beekeepers with experienced mentors. See <http://extension.oregonstate.edu>

Oregon State Beekeepers Association (OSBA): Regional chapters of the OSBA frequently host "Bee School." A listing of upcoming classes is available on the OSBA website: <http://orsba.org/events/categories/class/>

Private Classes: A number of private organizations also offer beekeeping classes. These include beekeeping supply companies, local community organizations, and local beekeepers.

Beekeeping instructional books

Canadian Best Management Practices for Honey Bee Health. Bee Health Roundtable: <http://www.capabees.com/canadian-best-management-practices-for-honey-bee-health/> 2016

The Beekeeper's Handbook Alphonse. Avitabile and Diana Sammataro, Cornell University Press, 2006

The Backyard Beekeeper: An Absolute Beginner's Guide to Keeping Bees in Your Yard and Garden. Kim Flottum, Quarry Books, 2010

Beekeeping instructional videos

An Introduction to Beekeeping. Bee Informed Project (2014)

Equipment Description: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HalidPJMJus>

Opening Colonies: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NXwVbXKtINy>

Honey Bees and Beekeeping (1993), University of Georgia (25-part series) <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLMne7FXm7S4X1hTXMal4VYtus-Ckf0Ag0g>

Beekeeping and the law in Oregon

Oregon Legislature House Bill on Residential Beekeeping Guidelines (2015): <https://olis.leg.state.or.us/liz/2015R1/Downloads/MeasureDocument/HB2653>

Registering colonies with the Oregon Department of Agriculture (5 or more colonies): <https://apps.oregon.gov/sos/licensedirectory/licensedetail/606>

Beekeeping supplies

Bridgetown Bees: www.bridgetownbees.com

GloryBee (Eugene): glorybee.com

Ruhl Bee Supply (Wilsonville): www.ruhlbeesupply.com

Nectar Bee Supply at Shonnard's Nursery, Florist and Landscape (Corvallis): www.shonnards.com

Oregon Bee Store (Eagle Point): oregonbeestore.com

Information for homeowners

Inviting Bees to Your Property: No Fear of Stings. Pollinator Partnership

Common Stinging Insects: Wasps and Bees (Bulletin 248). University of Maryland Extension

Homeowner Guide to Yellowjackets, Bald-Faced Hornets and Paper Wasps (Bulletin 852). University of Idaho Extension

References

Barr, S. E. (1974). Allergy to hymenoptera stings. *Jama*, 228(6): 718-720.

Biló, B.M., Rueff, F., Mosbech, H., Bonifazi, F. and Oude Elberink, J.N.G., 2005. Diagnosis of Hymenoptera venom allergy. *Allergy*, 60(11):1339-1349.

Burgett, M., et al. (1978). Perspectives in Urban Apiculture in Frankie G.W., Kohler C.S., (eds.), *Perspectives in Urban Entomology*. New York, NY, Academic Press: 187-219.

- Forrester, J.A., Holstege, C.P. and Forrester, J.D., 2012. Fatalities from venomous and nonvenomous animals in the United States (1999–2007). *Wilderness & Environmental Medicine*, 23(2):146-152.
- Garbuzov, M. and F. L. Ratnieks (2014). Lattice fence and hedge barriers around an apiary increase honey bee flight height and decrease stings to people nearby. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 53(1): 67-74.
- Ratnieks, F. L., Schrell, F., Sheppard, R. C., Brown, E., Bristow, O. E., & Garbuzov, M. (2016). Data reliability in citizen science: learning curve and the effects of training method, volunteer background and experience on identification accuracy of insects visiting ivy flowers. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7(10), 1226-1235.

Appendix 1. Residential Beekeeping Record (example)

Date: _____ Apiary: _____ Time of Work: _____

Conditions:

- ☐ Sunny
- ☐ Partly cloudy
- ☐ Overcast
- ☐ Windy
- ☐ Drizzle

Temperature: _____ °F

Water source:

- ☐ In place
- ☐ In place, needs to be refreshed
- ☐ Absent

Number of colonies in the apiary: _____ producing colonies; _____ nucleus colonies

Colonies that are unusually defensive: _____; Colonies that are queenless: _____

Colony congestion:

- ☐ Honey crown forming in broodnest
- ☐ Nectar flow
- ☐ Many frames of sealed brood
- ☐ Active swarm queen cells seen

Robbing: ☐ None, ☐ Moderate, ☐ Strong

Actions taken:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water source installed | <input type="checkbox"/> Defensive colony moved out of the apiary |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water source refreshed | <input type="checkbox"/> Queen ordered to replace defensive colony |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supers placed on colonies | <input type="checkbox"/> New queen installed into defensive colony |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brood nest reversed | <input type="checkbox"/> Newly installed queen accepted and laying eggs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Colonies split | <input type="checkbox"/> Entrances reduced |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wax debris, comb or syrup cleaned up
or properly stored | |

Notes:

Date: _____ Apiary: _____ Time of Work: _____

Conditions:

- ☐ Sunny
- ☐ Partly cloudy
- ☐ Overcast
- ☐ Windy
- ☐ Drizzle

Temperature: _____ °F

Water source:

- ☐ In place
- ☐ In place, needs to be refreshed
- ☐ Absent

Number of colonies in the apiary: _____ producing colonies; _____ nucleus colonies

Colonies that are unusually defensive: _____; Colonies that are queenless: _____

Colony congestion:

- ☐ Honey crown forming in broodnest
- ☐ Nectar flow
- ☐ Many frames of sealed brood
- ☐ Active swarm queen cells seen

Robbing: ☐ None, ☐ Moderate, ☐ Strong

Actions taken:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water source installed | <input type="checkbox"/> Defensive colony moved out of the apiary |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water source refreshed | <input type="checkbox"/> Queen ordered to replace defensive colony |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supers placed on colonies | <input type="checkbox"/> New queen installed into defensive colony |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brood nest reversed | <input type="checkbox"/> Newly installed queen accepted and laying eggs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Colonies split | <input type="checkbox"/> Entrances reduced |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wax debris, comb or syrup cleaned up
or properly stored | |

Notes:

Date: _____ Apiary: _____ Time of Work: _____

Conditions:

- ☐ Sunny
- ☐ Partly cloudy
- ☐ Overcast
- ☐ Windy
- ☐ Drizzle

Temperature: _____ °F

Water source:

- ☐ In place
- ☐ In place, needs to be refreshed
- ☐ Absent

Number of colonies in the apiary: _____ producing colonies; _____ nucleus colonies

Colonies that are unusually defensive: _____; Colonies that are queenless: _____

Colony congestion:

- ☐ Honey crown forming in broodnest
- ☐ Nectar flow
- ☐ Many frames of sealed brood
- ☐ Active swarm queen cells seen

Robbing: ☐ None, ☐ Moderate, ☐ Strong

Actions taken:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water source installed | <input type="checkbox"/> Defensive colony moved out of the apiary |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water source refreshed | <input type="checkbox"/> Queen ordered to replace defensive colony |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Supers placed on colonies | <input type="checkbox"/> New queen installed into defensive colony |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brood nest reversed | <input type="checkbox"/> Newly installed queen accepted and laying eggs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Colonies split | <input type="checkbox"/> Entrances reduced |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wax debris, comb or syrup cleaned up
or properly stored | |

Notes:

Printed with the generous support of:



Ralph (Mike) Rodia
Linn Benton Beekeepers Association
Michael Krummel
Lane County Beekeepers Association
Tillamook Beekeepers Association
Max and Clara Kuhn
Karessa Torgerson
Raine Lee Ritalto
Oregon Bee

Andony Melathopoulos, pollinator health Extension and assistant professor; Jen Holt, Master Beekeeper Program coordinator; and Ramesh Sagili, associate professor; all of Department of Horticulture, Oregon State University; and Ralph (Mike) Rodia, Oregon State Beekeepers Association

The authors would like to extend their greatest appreciations to the members of the committee who contributed their time and efforts towards the development of these best practices guidelines. The members include: Max Kuhn; Raine Lee Ritalto; Joe Maresh; Sarah Red-Laird, MS; Dick Temple; Fred Van Natta and Harry Vanderpool. We thank Rita Ostrofsky for feedback on an advanced draft and Rosanna Mattingly for extensive proof reading. A very special recognition extends to Raine Lee Ritalto because, without her concerns and committed efforts to address the issues of residential beekeeping, these best-practice guidelines would not exist. Her efforts led to the 2015 adoption of House Bill 2653 and the subsequent codification of residential beekeeping into Oregon law.

Trade-name products and services are mentioned as illustrations only. This does not mean that the Oregon State University Extension Service either endorses these products and services or intends to discriminate against products and services not mentioned.

© 2018 Extension work is a cooperative program of Oregon State University, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and Oregon counties. Oregon State University Extension Service offers educational programs, activities, and materials without discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, familial/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, genetic information, veteran's status, reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Oregon State University Extension Service is an AA/EOE/Veterans/Disabled.

Published February 2018.

Amy Johnson

From: Josh Pollock <joshpollock@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2019 10:59 AM
To: CityRecorder; Bryce Bishop
Cc: Josh Pollock
Subject: City Council Public Hearing CA 19-01 (Ordinance Bill No 6-19) Comments re: HOA Public Notice Requirement to UDC Update
Attachments: Basic CC&R.docx; Overview for City Council_Proposed UDC Code Amendment re Notice of Land Use Action to HOAs_JPollock.docx; Proposed Revisions to HOA Notice Requirement.pdf

Importance: Low

Categories: Follow-up

Dear Mayor and Members of Salem City Council;

I respectfully submit these comments for consideration in approving the "Proposed Further Revisions t520o HOA Notice Requirements of the Unified Development Code Sections 300.210(a)...-Application submittal and Section 300.520(b)(1)(B)(...) -Type II procedure language as presented in the Attachment I. My general comments in support of having notice requirement to HOA are additionally attached, along with a primer on CC&Rs from Findlaw.com.

However, since drafting said document, I have become aware of other comments; whereupon, the notice requirement would require the land use applicant to search the Secretary of State records to gain current information for notice. Specifically to identify only those active and duly incorporated Homeowner's Association and the name and address of their registered agents.

The purpose of the Notice is to reach only those HOAs that have legal standing to represent all property owners that may have a contractual right in the property which is the subject of the land application. It is logical and reasonable that only those HOAs that are recognized by the State, as being active and duly incorporated, would be the ones to stand in the shoes of said property owners in order to receive and act upon any notice of land use action.

Consequently, I am in support of the revised language as presented in Attachment I and respectfully ask that you approve this code update.

Sincerely,

Joshlene A. Pollock



CC&R Basics¹

Technically (and within the context of residential neighborhoods), a covenant is a rule governing the use of real property. However, in common usage, it may also refer to a promise or agreement (as formalized in a deed) concerning the use of the land, as where a purchaser of land "covenants" to abide by certain restrictions associated with the use of the land. Essentially, such covenants are promises made by a prospective purchaser as a condition of purchasing the land in question.

Legal Effect of Covenants

When properly recorded on a deed conveying land, a covenant ("restrictive deed covenant") has the legal effect of a binding contract term, and may be so enforced. When covenants are instead signed privately among neighbors, as in a mutual compact or agreement, they are still binding upon the signatories and may be litigated if breached.

When Covenants are Used

Most planned developments (subdivisions of homes built by a particular builder), including closed or gated residential areas, as well as condominium associations and housing cooperatives, make use of covenants for the benefit of all residential owners and their neighbors. Neighborhoods with properly drafted and enforced covenants or architectural standards have been shown to retain property value better than those with poorly enforced covenants or no standards at all. Neighborhoods that follow their covenants and standards tend to be safer, look better, maintain better relationships with local governments, and better retain or increase the investments that homeowners have made in their properties.

Covenants vs. Zoning Laws

Covenants differ from zoning ordinances in that they are between private parties rather than between a governmental entity and a private party. Thus, a neighborhood association or single homeowner may enforce a covenant as against another homeowner, rather than a city or county enforcing a zoning ordinance as against a private citizen. Another difference is that zoning ordinances are regulations recorded as local laws "on the books," whereas covenants are recorded in private deeds, either as

¹ www.findlaw.com/CC&RBasics

deed restrictions or as neighborhood compacts between private parties. Because covenants are voluntary, they may be more restrictive than zoning ordinances.

What are "CC&Rs"?

Covenants are often lumped together under the collective term of "covenants, conditions, and restrictions" or CC&Rs, a term commonly found in real estate documents. Since most covenants involve some kind of condition or restriction placed upon the buyer, the collective term "CC&Rs" has been more widely used in recent years to indicate the existence or future existence of limitations associated with the use of the purchased land.

Advice for Home Buyers

Many home buyers are so charmed by the appearance of a house for sale that they fail to take the time to read the CC&Rs that come with the property. They are so pleased with a nice kitchen or a fenced-in back yard that they sign a purchase agreement without realizing that existing CC&Rs may prevent them from keeping their boat or truck on the property, or erecting a basketball hoop in the driveway. Often, title companies will not have copies of the CC&Rs affecting the property until the day of closing, and they are often overlooked at that point. However, CC&Rs are binding upon the purchaser, and the purchaser will become subject to them, whether or not they have been reviewed, read, or understood. The general rule of "constructive notice" applies in these cases. No real estate contract should be signed until a purchaser has reviewed all the CC&Rs (and zoning laws) affecting the property.

Cut Through the CC&R Confusion: Consider Working With a Lawyer

If you live in a planned community in which certain actions are either required or prohibited though the CC&Rs, there still may be some confusion about what is actually allowed in certain scenarios. For instance, there may be instances where a covenant conflicts with a local ordinance. If you need help, a real estate attorney can help set your mind at ease.

OVERVIEW
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CITY OF SALEM'S UNIFORM DEVELOPMENT CODE REGARDING
ADDING SPECIFIC PUBLIC NOTICE TO HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATIONS
PRESENTED BY JOSHELENE POLLOCK

Currently, there is no specific notice of a proposed land use action being sent to Homeowner's Associations (HOAs) or their representatives. Specific notice is sent to property owners within 250 of the subject property and general notice of land use action is made by posting written notice on the subject property. If HOAs do not get second hand notice of pending land use action on property governed by their Covenants, Conditions, or Restrictions (CC&Rs) for which the HOA is required to enforce, then the risk arises that the land use action may violate some of their contractual provisions.

This document summarizes the justification for amending the UDC so that"

"For land use applications requiring public notice and involving property subject to a Home Owners Association (HOA):

- a) Require applicants to submit the contact information of the HOA for the subject property; and
- b) Require public notice of the land use application to be sent to the HOA."

1. Notice is matter of Constitutional Procedural Due Process.

In general, the Due Process Clauses (procedural and substantive) of the Fifth¹ and Fourteenth² Amendments imposes constraints on governmental decisions which deprive individuals of "life," "liberty," or "property" interest. General features of Procedural Due Process include a) Notice of proposed action, b) Opportunity to be Heard, c) by an unbiased decisionmaker, d) who will produce a written decision, e) supported by conclusions based upon evidence and testimony held in the record. Thus, "Notice" effectively operates as the gateway to all other procedural due process rights.

2. Whether administrative procedures are constitutionally sufficient will require analysis of the governmental and private interests that are affected.

The United States Supreme Court has long held that due process is flexible and calls for procedural protections as the particular situation demands.³ Thus, not all government action requires due process protection. The Supreme Court provided three distinct factors (Mathews Three-Part Test) to be used in the determination of whether procedural due process is in fact required in a particular governmental action.⁴

¹ <https://usconstitution.net/const.html> (U.S. Constitution, Fifth Amendment: "No person shall be [...] deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law [...].")

² <https://usconstitution.net/const.html> (U.S. Constitution, Fourteenth Amendment makes the 5th A. due process laws applicable to the states and their governmental entities: "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.").

³ *Mathews v Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 318,332 (1976)(quoting *Morrissey v Brew*, 408 US 471,481 (1961).

⁴ *Mathews*, *supra* at335. See, e.g. *Goldberg v Kelly*, 397 U.S.254,263-271(1970).

OVERVIEW
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CITY OF SALEM'S UNIFORM DEVELOPMENT CODE REGARDING
ADDING SPECIFIC PUBLIC NOTICE TO HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATIONS
PRESENTED BY JOSHLANE POLLOCK

3. **Proposed HOA notice under the Mathews Three-Part Test Scrutiny.**

a. Factor One: What is the private interested affected by the government action?

Contractual provisions are agreements to do, or not to do, some particular act and Oregon Courts have long held that CC&Rs are contractual provisions⁵. Furthermore, under the Oregon's Constitution Contract Clause ⁶ (which mirrors the federal constitution contract clause) "no law" impairing the obligations of contracts *shall ever be passed*.⁷ A protection that has additionally been codified under the City of Salem's Revised Code⁸, where it states that generally the UDC does not intend "[...] *to abrogate, annul, or impair [...] covenants, conditions, restrictions, or other agreements.*"⁹ Lastly, CC&Rs are specifically identified, under the UDC, as a "legally enforceable interests."¹⁰

Consequently, it is believed that if the contractual provisions of any CC&Rs are legally enforceable property interests, then, without proper notice, a land use action can potentially impair those interests, which is prohibited under Oregon Constitutional Contract Clause. This leaves CC&Rs as a significant property interest that would qualify for due process protection.

b. Factor Two: What is the risk of an erroneous deprivation to said private interest through the procedures used the probable value, if any, of additional or substitute procedural safeguards?

Staff has consistently stated that the City does not enforce CC&Rs.¹¹ This amendment is not about substantive rights, but procedural due process. With proper notice, the HOA, on behalf of its property owners, may act itself to protect and or enforce substantive rights arising in CC&Rs in either the administrative hearing or in a court of law.

Currently, HOA get only second-hand public notice, if that. There is a high risk that they will not become aware of the City's decision until it is finalized and the right to be heard and to appeal is no longer an option in the administrative hearing. Furthermore, the first time the HOA may become aware of the land use action is down the road when the applicant has relied upon the decision and has started the project. Thus, taking action in a court of law more difficult, more costly, and/or possibly moot.

⁵ *Leahy v Polarstar Development, LLC*, 223 Or. App. 373, 376, 195 P.3d 919 (2018)(quoting *Yogman v Parrott*, 325 Or.358,937 P.2d 1019 (1997) *Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions on land are contractual provisions.*)

⁶ Art. 1, Sec. 21, Or. Const., *Eckles v State of Oregon*, 306 Or.380, 394, 760 P.2d 846 (1986).

⁷ No ex-post facto law, or law impairing the obligation of contracts shall ever be passed, nor shall any law be passed, the taking effect of which shall be made to depend upon any authority, except as provided in this Constitution; provided, that laws locating the Capitol of the State, locating County Seats, and submitting town, and corporate acts, and other local, and Special laws may take effect, or not, upon a vote of the electors interested. Art.1 §21 (1857)(Bill of Rights)(italics added for emphasis).

⁸ Sec. 110.060 Relationship to private regulations and restrictions.

⁹ *Id.* (italicized for emphasis)

¹⁰ SRC §110.060(a) (The UDC shall be applied independently of, and without regard to any private [...] covenants, conditions, restrictions, or other legally enforceable interests.)

¹¹ SRC §110.060(b) The City does not enforce any easement, covenants, conditions, restrictions, or agreements.

OVERVIEW
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CITY OF SALEM'S UNIFORM DEVELOPMENT CODE REGARDING
ADDING SPECIFIC PUBLIC NOTICE TO HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATIONS
PRESENTED BY JOSHELENE POLLOCK

As stated, due process notice is the gateway for exerting other due process rights; therefore, sending specific public notice to HOAs is a valuable due process safeguard to avoid erroneous deprivation to the association's private interest.

c. Factor Three: What is the fiscal and administrative burdens on government that the additional or substitute procedural requirement would entail?

Staff has repeatedly stated that the City has no access to any comprehensive list of HOAs to use in providing public notice and it is likely that multiple HOA may fall within the 250 feet public notice radius. Therefore, they believe the burden of researching whether HOA exist and what is the proper mailing address is an administratively heavy burden. This is an agreeable point. It would indeed place a heavy fiscal and administrative burden on the city to research all HOA's "affected" by a proposed land use action. It is believed, however, that public notice to those property owners living within 250 feet of the subject property and the posting of public notice is sufficient to reach those HOAs.

In contrast, this amendment is not aimed at all HOAs, but only those that represent all those property owners who maintain legally enforceable contractual rights upon the subject property. This reduces the notice pool to only one HOA for which the land use applicant would have knowledge of and contact information.

Specifically, the UDC, under the Planned Unit Development (PUD) Codes, requires the formation of a home owners' association when any part of the PUD is sold. Furthermore, each property owner within said PUD shall automatically become a member of the HOA upon purchase of a dwelling unit or other property.¹² This means that the land use applicant is a member of the HOA for which he/she has access to the current address¹³. There is no excessive burden placed upon the applicant to provide this information.

More importantly, if the land use application itself requires the applicant to state whether there is a governing HOA and if so, to provide this information, along with the HOA's current address, then, there is neither a fiscal nor an administrative hardship upon the City. Especially, since they would only be adding one more written notice among all the other notices to property owners within 250 of the land for which the propose action is to be taken.

¹² SRC§ 210.055(a),(b)(1).

¹³ First, if the land use applicant is the current owner of the property, he is most likely making monthly assessed association fees either directly to the HOA or to the management company representing the HOA, in general. Second, a common HOA association bylaw provision generally requires, if there has not been over seventy-five percent of the property sold within the development, for the HOA to be remained managed by the developer, and this address would be readily available to the property owner. Lastly, if the land use applicant is not actually an owner but has entered into a land contract for the purchase of the subject property; therefore, under real estate law requirements, a copy of the CC&Rs, HOA bylaws, and HOA information must be provided to the purchaser for review prior to the contract becoming irrevocable. Thus, it would not be a burden upon the applicant to provide the City with the name and address of the governing HOA.

OVERVIEW
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO CITY OF SALEM'S UNIFORM DEVELOPMENT CODE REGARDING
ADDING SPECIFIC PUBLIC NOTICE TO HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATIONS
PRESENTED BY JOSHLANE POLLOCK

Conclusion

Procedural due process is the guarantee of a fair legal process when the government tries to interfere with a person's protected interests. When the government seeks to burden a person's protected [...] property interest, the Supreme Court has held that procedural due process requires that, at minimum, the government provide the person notice, an opportunity to be heard at an oral hearing, and a decision by a neutral decision maker.¹⁴

Given that HOAs are tasked with enforcing CC&Rs for its members, which includes the property owner of the subject property, they maintain standing to receive public notice of proposed land use action. Furthermore, there is no undue hardship on the applicant to supply the name and address of the HOA. It is additionally not a fiscal or an administrative hardship for the City to use this information to provide written public notice to the HOA, as they are already tasked with giving written public notice to all property owners within 250 feet of the subject property.

For all the reasons set forth above, I respectfully urge the City Council to approve the proposed amendment to the UDC requiring Notice to HOAs.

¹⁴ White, Bradford (2008). *Procedural Due Process in Plain English*, National Trust of Historic Preservation. ISBN 978-0-89133-573-3. See also *Mathews v Eldridge*, 424 U.S.318 (1976).

Proposed Further Revisions to HOA Notice Requirements

Sec. 300.210 - Application submittal.

(a)(...)

(10) For Type II, Type III, and applicant initiated Type IV applications involving property subject to an active and duly incorporated Homeowner's Association (HOA) registered with the Oregon Secretary of State which includes an identified registered agent, the HOA name and mailing address and e-mail address, if an e-mail address exists, for the registered agent HOA.

Sec. 300.520. - Type II procedure.

(b)(1)(B)(...) Mailed notice. Notice of the application shall be mailed to:

(iv) Any active and duly incorporated Homeowner's Association (HOA) involving the subject property that is registered with the Oregon Secretary of State and which includes an identified registered agent. For purposes of this subsection, the HOA shall be the HOA as identified by the applicant. Notice requirements to the HOA shall be deemed to have been met when notice is provided to the registered agent of the HOA with-utilizing the contact information provided by the applicant;

ATTACHMENT I

Amy Johnson

From: Jeff Schumacher <jeff.schumacher@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2019 9:59 AM
To: Chuck Bennett; citycouncil
Cc: CityRecorder
Subject: Unified Development Code Update - SCAN comments
Attachments: SCAN comments on Unified Development Code Update - May 9, 2019.pdf

Hello Mayor Bennett and Councilors,

Attached are comments from SCAN's Land Use & Transportation Committee related to the Unified Development Code amendments coming before Council on Monday, May 13th.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jeff Schumacher
SCAN president, 2018-19



May 8, 2019

Mayor Bennett and Salem City Council
555 Liberty Street SE
Salem, Oregon 97301

Subject: Public Hearing on Unified Development Code Amendments

The South Central Association of Neighbors (SCAN) supports many of the proposed amendments to the UDC. A few items we are particularly interested in are:

- **Support** requiring a grading plan in the several SRC chapters proposed.
- **Support** proposed changes to SRC Chapter 300, especially the new requirements for applicant to contact the neighborhood association before submitting certain applications; or to sponsor an open house before submitting certain applications. **SCAN believes this contact must include a full site plan (as originally proposed by staff), not just a conceptual plan (as recommended by Planning Commission). Otherwise, any resulting discussion between the applicant and neighborhood association cannot be specific enough to have meaning. (See SRC 300.310 (c)(2)(D) proposed amendment.)**
- **Support** the clarification that if a development requires historic design review, it shall only be subject to design review under SRC chapter 230.
- **Support** the new pedestrian access standards for connectivity through and to non-housing development sites (SRC 800.065).

Approved by the SCAN Board by a vote of 12 to 0 on May 8, 2019.

Thank you for your support of these proposed changes.

Jeff Schumacher
SCAN President, 2018-19

Amy Johnson

From: Thomas Cupani
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 4:48 PM
To: Amy Johnson
Cc: joseph.schaefer@jordanramis.com; Natasha Zimmerman
Subject: Proposed amendment to SRC 200.050
Attachments: Compare Result 4 Compatibility Mode (002).docx

Categories: Follow-up

Amy –

Mr. Schaefer has requested that the attached document be included to the UDC packet for the public hearing on Monday. My understanding from you is that the material will go out as an addition on Monday sometime during the day.

Thank you for your help on this.

Thomas Cupani
Assistant City Attorney
City of Salem
555 Liberty St SE, Room 205
Salem, Or 97301-3513
(503) 588-6037
tcupani@cityofsalem.net

SRC Sec. 200.050(d) ~~All property~~Property, easements, and rights-of-way acquired by the developer shall be acquired by the developer in the name of, and conveyed to, the City, free of all liens and encumbrances, no later than the time of recording of the final plat. ~~If the property acquired by the developer is subject to an easement held by a federal, state, or local governmental entity~~If the required conveyance to the City is for a public facility identified in a public facilities master plan or a land use master plan, the property, easements, and rights-of-way may be conveyed to the city subject to existing liens and encumbrances that benefit a federal, state or local government entity. If the required dedication is for a public facility not identified in a public facilities master plan or a land use master plan, the developer may request relief from the provisions of this subsection as follows:

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

~~(1) Decisions made after [insert effective date of ordinance].~~

~~The applicant~~developer may request relief from this subsection through a Class 2 adjustment, pursuant to SRC chapter 250.005. Notwithstanding SRC 250.005(d)(2), a Class 2 ~~adjustment for relief pursuant to this subsection may~~shall be approved~~granted~~ if all of the following criteria are met:

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

~~(A) The City's planned uses of the encumbered area are consistent with the terms of the easement;~~

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.65", First line: 0.35", Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li, Tab stops: Not at 0.3"

~~(B) The applicant~~developer has made good faith efforts to remove the encumbrance;

~~(C) Feasible alternatives exist for~~The governmental entity that holds the relocation or modification~~encumbrance consents to the City's planned uses of any City improvements within the encumbered area should the City's use come into conflict with the existing easement; and~~in a written agreement.

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

~~(D) The City will not incur substantial cost to relocate or modify any City improvements within~~City's planned uses of the encumbered area should~~are unlikely to present a material risk to the continuation of existing uses in the City's use come into conflict with~~encumbered area, or the existing easement.

~~(2) Decisions made prior to [insert effective date of ordinance].~~

~~The applicant may seek relief from~~risks are mitigated to the provisions of this subsection through modification of the land use decision. For an urban growth preliminary declaration, the applicant may seek an amendment pursuant to SRC 200.030.~~extent practicable.~~

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.63", First line: 0.38", Right: 0", Space Before: 6.25 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li, Tab stops: 0.63", Left + 1.5", Left

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

Amy Johnson

From: Joseph Schaefer <joseph.schaefer@jordanramis.com>
Sent: Monday, May 13, 2019 3:13 PM
To: Thomas Cupani; Amy Johnson
Cc: Natasha Zimmerman; Tim Ramis; Karl Ivanov; Eric Meurer; Mark Grenz (mgrenz@mtengineering.net)
Subject: Proposed Amendment to SRC 200.050
Attachments: SRC 200.050 -JR Draft May 13.docx

Tom and Amy and Natasha:

Here is a revised draft of the code amendment that starts with the city's draft, for which the text is unchanged. It then adds a new Section 3 to provide criteria for when a feasible alternative for relocation is not available, and a new Section 4 for the master plan exemption.

Hopefully because it is before 3:30 this will make it to the council before this evening's meeting; and thanks for that. If not, we will bring plenty of copies.

If there are any questions; please give me a ring at 503 819-4764.

JOSEPH SCHAEFER | Land Use Planner
Jordan Ramis PC | Attorneys at Law
Direct: 503 598-5584 Main: 503 598-7070
Cell: 503 819-4764

From: Thomas Cupani <TCupani@cityofsalem.net>
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 4:48 PM
To: Amy Johnson <AJohnson@cityofsalem.net>
Cc: Joseph Schaefer <joseph.schaefer@jordanramis.com>; Natasha Zimmerman <NZimmerman@cityofsalem.net>
Subject: Proposed amendment to SRC 200.050

Amy –

Mr. Schaefer has requested that the attached document be included to the UDC packet for the public hearing on Monday. My understanding from you is that the material will go out as an addition on Monday sometime during the day.

Thank you for your help on this.

Thomas Cupani
Assistant City Attorney
City of Salem
555 Liberty St SE, Room 205
Salem, Or 97301-3513
(503) 588-6037
tcupani@cityofsalem.net

E-MAIL CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient or this message has been addressed to you in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. You are further notified that any use, dissemination, distribution, copying, or storage of this message or any attachment by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

SRC Sec. 200.050(d) All property, easements, and rights-of-way acquired by the developer shall be acquired by the developer in the name of, and conveyed to, the City, free of all liens and encumbrances, no later than the time of recording of the final plat. If the property acquired by the developer is subject to an easement held by a federal, state, or local governmental entity, the developer may request relief from the provisions of this subsection as follows:

(1) Decisions made after [insert effective date of ordinance].

The applicant may request relief from this subsection through a Class 2 adjustment, pursuant to SRC chapter 250. Notwithstanding SRC 250.005(d)(2), a Class 2 adjustment for relief pursuant to this subsection may be approved if the following criteria are met:

(A) The City's planned uses of the encumbered area are consistent with the terms of the easement;

(B) The applicant has made good faith efforts to remove the encumbrance;

(C) Feasible alternatives exist for the relocation or modification of any City improvements within the encumbered area should the City's use come into conflict with the existing easement; and

(D) The City will not incur substantial cost to relocate or modify any City improvements within the encumbered area should the City's use come into conflict with the existing easement.

(2) Decisions made prior to [insert effective date of ordinance].

The applicant may seek relief from the provisions of this subsection through modification of the land use decision. For an urban growth preliminary declaration, the applicant may seek an amendment pursuant to SRC 200.030.

(3) When the applicant demonstrates there are no feasible alternatives for the relocation or modification of any City improvements, a Class 2 adjustment for relief pursuant to this subsection may be approved if the following criteria are met:

(A) The City's planned uses of the encumbered area are consistent with the terms of the easement;

(B) The developer has made good faith efforts to remove the encumbrance;

(C) The governmental entity that holds the encumbrance consents to the City's planned uses of the encumbered area in a written agreement.

(D) The City's planned uses of the encumbered area are unlikely to present a material risk to the continuation of existing uses in the encumbered area, or the risks are mitigated to the extent practicable.

(4) If the required conveyance to the City is for a public facility identified in a public facilities master plan or a land use master plan, the property, easements, and rights-of-way may be conveyed to the city subject to existing liens and encumbrances that benefit a federal, state or local government entity.

Amy Johnson

From: Aaron Panko
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 4:02 PM
To: Amy Johnson; Lisa Anderson-Ogilvie
Subject: FW: Request of Council
Attachments: Letter to Salem City Council 5-13-2019.pdf

Amy,

Richard Berger would like the attached letter to be included in the agenda for Monday's Council meeting.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

-Aaron | 503-540-2356

From: Richard Berger [mailto:Richard@mwinv.com]
Sent: Friday, May 10, 2019 3:57 PM
To: Aaron Panko <APanko@cityofsalem.net>; Lisa Anderson-Ogilvie <LMAnderson@cityofsalem.net>
Cc: Mark D. Shipman <MShipman@SGLaw.com>
Subject: Request of Council

Aaron,

Attached is our request for the Council not to call up our land use application. Could you please make sure the council receives it as part of the information for their Monday meeting.

All the best,

Richard Berger
Mountain West Investment Corp
503-584-4593

May 13, 2019

Salem City Council
555 Liberty St. SE. Rm. 220
Salem, OR 97301
Delivered via e-mail to: citycouncil@cityofsalem.net

Honorable Mayor Bennett and Members of the Salem City Council:

We formally request that you NOT call up Case No. CPC-ZC-SUB-ADJ19 -02, which was unanimously approved by the Planning Commission on April 16, 2019.

The application implements the already adopted neighborhood plans for the area and will enable the development of badly needed single-family and multifamily housing.

This application has the support of both the NEN and NESCA neighborhood associations. At the first Planning Commission hearing the neighborhoods were not ready to give their support, so instead of pushing forward at that time, Mountain West chose to continue the hearing so that further collaboration with the neighborhood could occur. Based on this discussion, several changes to the application were made to better address neighborhood concerns and to better conform to the neighborhood plans. Due to these changes, both the NEN and NESCA neighborhood associations now support this application and spoke in favor of the application at the second Planning Commission hearing. A collaborative effort with the neighborhood associations is a long and difficult process, but we believe it garners the best results for the community.

We understand that there are always opposing opinions on land use matters, but given that both neighborhood associations are in support of this application and that the application was passed unanimously by the Planning Commission, we believe there is no need for the Council to weigh in on this decision.

Thanks you for your service and for your hard work on behalf of the citizens of Salem.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Richard T. Berger", is written over a horizontal line.

Richard Berger
Director of Acquisitions and Development,
Mountain West Investment Corporation